



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/937,439	09/25/1997	MANABU FUKUSHIMA	500.30789R00	1798
20457	7590	01/25/2010	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			PAPPAS, PETER	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/937,439	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	2628	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul J. Skwierawski. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10, 12-14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner stated that the listing of claims filed 11/30/09 and the amendment filed 06/18/09 are improper under Rule 37 CFR 1.173(b). The amendment to claims 1-10 and 12-14 is improper. Said claims are original patent claims and each time they are amended they must be amended in context with the original patent claim by underlining any added limitations and bracketing any deleted limitations from the original patent claims. There must be no strike through.

The examiner further stated that a supplemental declaration is required to cover any errors that were corrected by any amendment since the last declaration filed. Said supplemental declaration must state "Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and which is not covered by prior declarations submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

The applicant acknowledged and stated that both issues would be addressed via an amendment.